

Message

From: Hinch, Jake (Inhofe) [Jake_Hinch@inhofe.senate.gov]
Sent: 7/1/2021 1:55:11 PM
To: Kaiser, Sven-Erik [Kaiser.Sven-Erik@epa.gov]; Adhar, Radha [Adhar.Radha@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Sen. Inhofe RE: 24(c) Dicamba Inquiry
Attachments: Arthur Letter to EPA re Dicamba Cutoff 6.21.pdf

Hi Radha and Sven,

I'm just checking back in on the below request for clarifying information on North Carolina's 24(c) Dicamba denial. I also wanted to share with you a letter that the OK Secretary of Agriculture sent to Admin. Regan requesting relief and reconsideration of the June 30th and July 30th deadlines for using Dicamba products for agricultural uses. Can you please provide us with an update on when we should expect an response from EPA on this inquiry from June 17th? This is a really big deal to farmers in OK. Appreciate your time and help.

Best,

Jake Hinch
Policy Advisor
U.S. Senator James M. Inhofe
205 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510
(202)224-4721

From: Hinch, Jake (Inhofe)
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 10:59 AM
To: 'Kaiser, Sven-Erik' <Kaiser.Sven-Erik@epa.gov>; 'Adhar, Radha' <Adhar.Radha@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Sen. Inhofe RE: 24(c) Dicamba Inquiry

Hi Sven and Radha,

Just checking back in here to see if there happens to be any update on this inquiry. Appreciate your help!

Jake Hinch
Policy Advisor
U.S. Senator James M. Inhofe
205 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510
(202)224-4721

From: Hinch, Jake (Inhofe)
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 9:14 AM
To: 'Kaiser, Sven-Erik' <Kaiser.Sven-Erik@epa.gov>; Adhar, Radha <Adhar.Radha@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Sen. Inhofe RE: 24(c) Dicamba Inquiry

Thanks, Sven. Appreciate it.

Jake Hinch
Policy Advisor
U.S. Senator James M. Inhofe

205 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510
(202)224-4721

From: Kaiser, Sven-Erik <Kaiser.Sven-Erik@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 8:57 AM
To: Adhar, Radha <Adhar.Radha@epa.gov>; Hinch, Jake (Inhofe) <Jake_Hinch@inhofe.senate.gov>
Subject: Sen. Inhofe RE: 24(c) Dicamba Inquiry

Jake – thanks for the questions on the North Carolina dicamba 24(c) request. We'll be glad to check with folks and get back to you with a response. Please let us know if any additional questions. Best,
Sven

Sven-Erik Kaiser
Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
202-566-2753
kaiser.sven-erik@epa.gov

From: Hinch, Jake (Inhofe) <Jake_Hinch@inhofe.senate.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2021 12:28 PM
To: Adhar, Radha <Adhar.Radha@epa.gov>
Cc: Salters, Esther (Inhofe) <Esther_Salters@inhofe.senate.gov>
Subject: 24(c) Dicamba Inquiry

Hi Radha,

It was great getting to finally meet you in person this week! And hope you are having a nice Thursday!

Can you help me run down some information on a pesticide issue? It's my understanding that many states often ask EPA for and receive 24(c) "special local needs" exception for certain pesticide uses, including formulations of dicamba used with cotton and soybean seeds. In March, EPA turned down North Carolina's request for an 24(c) exception for specific dicamba formulations. We're trying to better understand EPA's decision and, specifically, find the supporting data, records, etc. that EPA used in making this decision that is highlighted in the attached letter from Administrator Regan to the NC Department of Agriculture. I've also pasted below the highlighted questions in the attached letter.

- "There is ample record evidence that off-field emissions and incidents tied to dicamba use have been associated with late season applications"
- "The EPA has identified efficacious alternatives to the dicamba-tolerant system in soybean that growers planting double crop soybean could utilize to provide control of glyphosate resistant weeds."

Appreciate your time and help!

Best,

Jake Hinch
Policy Advisor
U.S. Senator James M. Inhofe
205 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510
(202)224-4721